


# Post 2020 MRV Framework



or.....An opportunity for a more effective and ambitious ruled based regime

# Do we need to improve these guidelines?

## Yes, because...of the DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BENEFITS

- ❑ **If you measure, you can manage and can control better**
  - Better decision making process
  
- ❑ **If you report and verify, you can improve**
  - Inspires and catalyze more actions
  
- ❑ **With a better institutional framework and MRV system, you are likely to lose less money and rather**
  - Attract more funding

# Do we need to improve these guidelines?

**Yes, because there are GAPS...**

## Operational Gaps

- Affects trust
- Prevents cooperation

## Capacity Gaps

- institutional, technical, financial

## Likely gap between aggregate level of Intended National Contributions and the 2 degree goal

- MRV process should support a ratcheting mechanism.

# Opportunities for improvement

## *Examples of Operational gaps:*

- No guidelines to measure and account for the effects of mitigation actions
- No guidelines to track financial flows or other means of support
- No ex-ante assessment of countries' contributions
- No clear outcome of the verification process, including compliance
- Enhance effectiveness of the MRV framework.
- No CRF tables for developing countries
- MRV of support


# How to get there

## How to secure success

- Embrace the national and international **benefits**
- Ensure improvement over time, with adequate support (institutional, technical financial)
- Continue to operationalize CBDR-RC **BUT in the most effective way**

# Options for an Evolving MRV framework


## Enhanced Converging MRV framework



Main parameters:

- Timing for convergence
- Use of tiers


## Enhanced Parallel MRV framework



Main parameters:

- Timing of improvement
- Enhanced stringency

## Multi-types MRV framework



Main parameters:

- Self-differentiation
- Complex bounded flexibility

# About the options

- Not mutually exclusive
- Could have combination of options
- All must result in enhanced framework, enhanced data
- Need adequate means for implementation

# Phase 1 - Questions

1- Which option do you like most and why?



# Phase 2 – Questions

(15 minutes in group+ 15 minutes discussion)

**1- What would be the benefits shortcomings or risks of your option?**

**2- Whilst looking at the draft element text think about how does it differ from framework would you like?**

- What is necessary to get there
- What timeframe
- Based on these shortcomings what would be your strategy to get there.

# Phase 3 – Role play (draft element text)

15 minutes preparation – 15 minute plenary

➤ General pathway for a robust and effective MRV process

- Step-wise approach for a common framework with some milestones:
- General provision on support (especially capacity building and financial assistance) for developing countries to meet their MRV Requirements.

# Phase 3 (continued)– Role play (draft element text)

## ➤ Addressing some methodological gaps

- **Mitigation – accounting:** guidance on how to assess the effect of various types of policies and measures, how to set and assess progress against a target or goal (independently of the type of commitments)
- **Finance:** guidance on how to track financial flows for both private and public sources.
- **Adaptation:** guidance for monitoring and evaluation of climate change impacts and vulnerability assessments.

# Phase 3 (continued)– Role play (draft element text)

- Provisions calling for the continuation of regular reporting as currently done by developed and developing countries (with national inventories, Biennial Reports, Biennial update reports and national communications). Parties will also be encouraged to continue to produce LEDS.
- Specific clause on adaptation: Highlight the importance and role of NAPs and NAPAs and national communications and the benefit for regular reporting
- Provisions on reporting and verification to regularly revise the MRV guidelines to ensure continuous and cost-effective improvements of the data and supporting institutional arrangements. Request the use of common tabular formats to accompany the reports (hence the development of such table for developing countries).
- Specific request for regular review for developing countries' national communications.
- Acknowledgment of the work of the Standing Committee of Finance to assess biennially the financial flows.
- Provision clarifying the link with a compliance mechanism (see next chapter on the "Implementation Committee").

THANK YOU!  
Gracias!



Supported by:



Federal Ministry for the  
Environment, Nature Conservation  
and Nuclear Safety

based on a decision of the Parliament  
of the Federal Republic of Germany