

ICA process

Sharing Mexico's experience



INECC

INSTITUTO NACIONAL
DE ECOLOGÍA
Y CAMBIO CLIMÁTICO

Coordinación General de Mitigación
del Cambio Climático

April 6th, 2017

MEXICO'S CONTEXT


In 2013:

- 118,390,000 inhabitants (51.2% female and 48.8% male)
- Mexico is highly vulnerable to climate change
- The fifteenth largest economy
- Mexico is a “mega diverse” country
- National GHG emissions contributed with 1.4% of global emissions.

México



Institutional arrangements



BUR elaboration and ICA process ^a

CMNUCC 2/CP.17



- Mexico presented its first BUR on October 23th, 2015.
- The technical analysis (ICA^a) was conducted from 29th February to 4th March 2016 in Bonn, Germany.
- It was reviewed by the roster of experts (TTE^b) of the Convention with representatives of Chile, Cuba, Italy, Spain, Paraguay and Perú.

^a International consultation and analysis (ICA)

^b Team of technical experts (TTE)

^c Facilitative sharing of views (FSV)

BUR elaboration and ICA process

- In April 2016 a first round of questions on the BUR was answered and the final TTE report was received on May 30th (public document)
- The BUR was presented in November 2016, during COP22 at the facilitating workshop for the exchange of views (Marrakech) (FSV c)



Written questions received by parties:

European Union (8)

- BUR guidelines
- LULUCF
- Black carbon in GHG inventory
- GHG data platform
- Improvements to GHG inventory
- Emission registry
- Carbon markets
- Indicators

Japan (1)

- JCM expectations

New Zealand (1)

- MRV

United States (6)

- BUR guidelines
- Steps for information and quantification of mitigation actions
- Synthesizing data on emissions reduction potential
- Report of financial support
- 2006 IPCC methodologies
- Key category analysis


Peru (4)

- Support needed methodology
- Information gathering challenges
- Coherence in time series
- Report of removals

Switzerland (1)

- GWP

Balance of TTE report



It is projected to present Mexico's 2nd BUR
of in late 2017 - early 2018...

Leanings and changes in reporting future BURs?

- Balance on TTE and ICA recommendations relevant to identify next BUR and improvement opportunities (deep screening).
- Information flux and data availability are the greatest benefits.
- Technical team experience as main resource for BUR and ICA process.
- Necessary to be trained as part of the team on BUR reviewers and specific methodologies of analysis.

ICA process is a great opportunity to enhance transparency but BUR guidelines are general and some times not enough clear about criteria to organize or present information.

Some examples to show how to do it should be provided.

Recommendations for other countries

- Legal framework development as main step of BUR integration process.
- Strong institutional arrangements provides the basis for any step of BUR or mitigation policy.
- Technical capacity building to facilitate BUR integration and review.
- Transparency is aligned to financing support.

Thank you

ICA process, sharing Mexico's experience

<http://www.inecc.gob.mx>

itzchel.nieto@inecc.gob.mx



**MEXICO'S
INVENTORY,
TECHNOLOGY
AND
MITIGATION
TEAM**

**INVOLVED ON
BUR AND
ICA
PROCESS**