

Capacity-building Initiative for Transparency: Progress and Prospects

Annual Partnership Retreat of the Partnership on Transparency in the Paris Agreement (PATPA)

Songdo, Korea (remotely)
October 17, 2018

Transparency: Cornerstone of Paris Agreement



- ✓ Each and every Party decides own contributions to Paris Agreement
- ✓ Transparency a precondition to raising climate ambition, and builds trust and accountability among Parties
- ✓ Many Parties lack capacity to effectively track, report, and enhance
- → GEF requested in Paris Agreement decision to support establishment and operation of CBIT



CBIT Establishment and Support

GEF-6 GEF-7
Dec 2015 May 2016 Nov 2016 Nov 2017 June 2018 July 2018 – June 2022



Paris Agreement
Decision request to
GEF to establish CBIT



CBIT Trust Fund established by GEF Council



4 projects approved, pledging session at COP 22



Project approvals continued, high level event at COP 23




41 projects approved, GEF-7 programming directions approved CBIT mainstreamed into GEF Trust Fund




Programming Status (as of September 2018)

- √ \$61.9 million pledged by donors, \$56.7 million received
- √\$53.2 million programmed
- √41 projects approved
 - 39 national projects
 - · 2 global projects
- √ 6 projects CEO approved
- √ 52 projects received
 - Deferred projects to be considered in GFF-7




Coverage of CBIT Support (as of September 2018)

Share of Non-Annex I Parties Supported by CBIT National Projects



■ CBIT Countries ■ Remaining Non-Annex 1 Countries

GHG Emissions of Countries Supported by CBIT National Projects and % of Total Non-Annex I GHG Emissions (2014)





Regional Distribution (as of September 2018)

√ Africa: 14 projects

✓ LAC: 12 projects

✓ Asia: 7 projects

✓ ECA: 6 projects

✓ Global: 2 projects

✓ 11 LDCs

✓ 5 SIDS




Priorities among CBIT National Projects

98% Capacity Building, Knowledge Sharing and Training	83% MRV Systems	65% GHG Inventory Data Collection and Management Tools (Hardware & Software)	53% Accounting and MRV for Mitigation Actions	47% Accounting and MRV for Adaptation Actions
85% NDC Transparency and Policy Design	73% Institutional Arrangements	65% GHG Inventory Improvements	23% AFOLU Component	30% Tracking Climate Finance 18% Scenario Modelling



GEF Agency Engagement

- ✓ Five Agencies engaged: CI, FAO, IADB, UNDP and UNEP
- ✓ Countries have wider choice of Agencies compared to NC/BUR projects



CBIT Project Approval – Timeline on Average

Meeting corporate standards and project cycle policy

Average GEF turnaroud for initial comments on CBIT proposal:

10 working days

Average time for PIF approval (including time needed for revision and resubmission after review):

60 working days

Average time for CEO endorsement/ approval (including time needed to develop and submit full project proposal):

12 months

Lessons Learned for Future CBIT Support



Provide project component details in initial project design



Demonstrate clearly incremental nature of proposed activities

N_C

Highlight project linkages to NDC and enhanced transparency framework



Utilize findings related to identified gaps and barriers (e.g. ICA, national capacity assessments, etc.)



Demonstrate agency's comparative advantage for proposed project



GEF-7 Outlook

Seminal period for Paris Agreement implementation

- CBIT support through GEF Main Trust Fund
- CBIT Trust Fund sunsets
- CBIT programming directions remain the same
- Notional allocation of \$55 million
- CBIT support continues from set-aside, outside STAR/national allocation
- Ensure alignment of CBIT project activities with rulebook and COP decisions
- Continue coordination and outreach





For further information

Contact:

Chizuru Aoki: CBIT Manager

Dustin Schinn: Team Member

Milena Gonzalez: Team Member

John Sottong: Team Member

Online:

www.thegef.org/topics/capacity-building-initiative-transparency-cbit

CBIT video:

www.youtube.com/watch?v=3yysdPC5xUs



Project Review and Results Tracking

Key Considerations

- ✓ Alignment with NDC
- ✓ Address identified capacity needs
- ✓ Alignment and coordination with other GEF support
- ✓ Inter-ministerial coordination
- ✓ Feedback of data and information into policy-development
- ✓ Linkage with the Global Coordination Platform

Results Framework

- ✓ Harmonized with GEF-6 Climate Change Mitigation results framework
- ✓ Additional qualitative indicator on institutional capacity built for transparencyrelated activities under Article 13 of Paris Agreement
- ✓ GEF Gender Equality Action Plan applies



Coordination and Outreach

GEF continues to engage with initiatives and activities, including:

- Relevant GEF projects and reporting programs
- UNFCCC bodies and workstreams
- MRV and transparency initiatives (e.g., ICAT, PATPA, NDC Partnership...)
- Outreach via workshops, communications, etc.
- Global Coordination Platform







