

- Informal technical workshop on transparency, convened by SBSTA Chair
 - Part I: Possible options for the CRT of national GHG inventory (5 May)
 - Part II: Possible options for the CTF of structured summary (6 May)
 - Part III: Possible options for the CTF of FTC support (7 May)
- Parties engaged in a focused discussion with concrete examples, which was informed by Parties' submissions (submissions received from 13 Parties/groups of Parties).
- Many Parties consider that the information note by the SBSTA Chair provided useful inputs to the discussion at the workshop, although the note is not exhaustive of Parties' views.
- Parties also noted similarities and commonalities of views emerging as reflected in Parties' submissions.
- Many Parties called for more time and space to further reflect on the different options and examples, in the second half of 2021.

- The workshop focused on two different key aspects of this agenda item: (1) examples and options for the format and content of the CRTs, particularly background and sectoral tables, and (2) examples for implementing in the CRTs the flexibility provisions contained in the MPGs. The discussion at the workshop contributed to enhanced understanding of CRT.
- The submissions and views expressed by Parties cover a broad range of possible tables, including summary and cross-cutting tables, and the sectoral tables.
- Parties' views cover a range of approaches for implementing flexibility for specific provisions (e.g., key categories, gases, time-series).
- Many Parties suggest that further work is necessary on the different options and combination of options and how these could be implemented in the tables and software.

- The informal technical workshop focused on possible options for the CTFs for the structured summary, while noting there are different views on the format of the structured summary, and also taking into account the mandate of SBSTA work which is to develop “common tabular formats for electronic reporting of the information” referred to in chapter III of the annex of Decision 18/CMA.1.
- The information note prepared by the SBSTA Chair included illustrative examples of the CTFs for the structured summary.
- Parties engaged in a substantive technical discussion focusing on the format, scope and reporting elements of the structured summary, initiated by a presentation of views by ABU and the EU.
- Concrete working examples were used to demonstrate how these options could accommodate different types of NDCs.

- Parties shared their views on the following:
 - How many tables should be used by developed and developing countries for reporting information on support provided and mobilized, as well as needed and received;
 - Technical information on specific reporting parameters, as well as on structure and content of tables, specifically with regards to use of footnotes, documentation boxes and notations keys;
 - How to differentiate information within specific parameters, such as reporting on proportion of mitigation and adaptation under type of support, and the possibility of reporting on grant equivalency in addition to face value;
 - How to ensure consistency and comparability across tables, linkages across the finance, technology and capacity-building tables, and the compatibility of CTF with other reporting systems, such as the OECD DAC.